

EvaS Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences

Dear Ms Noelle Ponasik (as private and confidential)

Report: Course Evaluation, to the Instructors

Dear Ponasik,

This email contains the results of the automated evaluation of the training meeting evaluation for meeting ISU 2017- German and European Central Banking, Ingo Sauer to questionnaire type ISU_2017:

The global indicator indicated first consists of the following scales of the questionnaire:


next the individual average values of the scales specified above are specified.

In the second part of the analysis report the average values of all individual questions are listed. The value 1 marks a minimum meeting quality, the value 7 a maximum meeting quality from view of the studying.

Survey Results


Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

1. About the lecturer




2. About the content of the class




3. About the level of the lecture


3.1) The amount of the course material was



3.2) The pace of the lecturing was



3.3) The level of difficulty of the seminar content was




Profile

Subunit: Special Surveys English
 Name of the instructor: Noelle Ponasik
 Name of the course: ISU 2017- German and European Central Banking, Ingo Sauer
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. About the lecturer



2. About the content of the class



3. About the level of the lecture

